

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/010,101 | 11/08/2001 | Andrzej Metelski | LAGP:111_US_ | 5386 | | |
| 75 | 7590 10/02/2003 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Hodgson Russ LLP | | | WOOD, KIMBERLY T | | | |
| Intellectual Property Law Group Suite 2000 One M & T Plaza | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3632 | | | |
| Buffalo, NY 1 | 4203-2391 | | DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| O, | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons | 10/010,101 | | METELSKI, ANDF | (ZEJ | | | |
| , Office Action Summary | Examin r | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kimberly T. Wood | | 3632 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover | sh t with th co | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini vill apply and will expire S cause the application to | ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/8 | <u>3/01</u> . | | | · | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th | is action is non-fir | nal. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims | | | | e merits is | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application | ı . | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from considera | ation. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requireme | ent. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | _ | ion. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 | U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been rece | ived. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been rece | ived in Application | on No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | | | | l application). | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro | visional application | on has been rec | eived. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | , , , , , , , , , , | - 50 -20 | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) 5) 6) | | (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/010,101

Art Unit: 3632

This is the first office action for serial number 10/010,101, entitled Stand filed on November 8, 2001.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I drawn to figure 1, 2a

Species II drawn to figures 2, 2a

Species III drawn to figures 3, 3a

Species IV drawn to figures 4, and 5

Species V drawn to figure 6

Species VI drawn to figure 7

Species VII drawn to figure 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are

Application/Control Number: 10/010,101

Art Unit: 3632

generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3632

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Wood whose telephone number is (703) 308-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. The fax number for an Official Amendment or Response is (703) 872-9306. The fax number for an Unofficial Amendment or Response is (703) 308-3519.

Kimberly Wood Primary Examiner September 29, 2003 RIMARY EXAMINED